

Profile information current as at 15/07/2025 06:16 am

All details in this unit profile for PSYC13021 have been officially approved by CQUniversity and represent a learning partnership between the University and you (our student). The information will not be changed unless absolutely necessary and any change will be clearly indicated by an approved correction included in the profile.

General Information

Overview

Forensic Psychology is the area of behavioural science concerned with psychology and the law. In this unit, you will discuss how psychological principles and practices can be applied to topics such as personality and crime, suspect interviewing, pathways to offending, courtroom practices and witness reliability, understanding criminal behaviour, mental competency, and justice. You will also discuss general psychological principles as they relate to the legal systems within Australia and other countries as well as specific case studies.

Details

Career Level: Undergraduate

Unit Level: *Level 3* Credit Points: *6*

Student Contribution Band: 10

Fraction of Full-Time Student Load: 0.125

Pre-requisites or Co-requisites

96 credit points

Important note: Students enrolled in a subsequent unit who failed their pre-requisite unit, should drop the subsequent unit before the census date or within 10 working days of Fail grade notification. Students who do not drop the unit in this timeframe cannot later drop the unit without academic and financial liability. See details in the Assessment Policy and Procedure (Higher Education Coursework).

Offerings For Term 2 - 2024

Online

Attendance Requirements

All on-campus students are expected to attend scheduled classes – in some units, these classes are identified as a mandatory (pass/fail) component and attendance is compulsory. International students, on a student visa, must maintain a full time study load and meet both attendance and academic progress requirements in each study period (satisfactory attendance for International students is defined as maintaining at least an 80% attendance record).

Website

This unit has a website, within the Moodle system, which is available two weeks before the start of term. It is important that you visit your Moodle site throughout the term. Please visit Moodle for more information.

Class and Assessment Overview

Recommended Student Time Commitment

Each 6-credit Undergraduate unit at CQUniversity requires an overall time commitment of an average of 12.5 hours of study per week, making a total of 150 hours for the unit.

Class Timetable

Regional Campuses

Bundaberg, Cairns, Emerald, Gladstone, Mackay, Rockhampton, Townsville

Metropolitan Campuses

Adelaide, Brisbane, Melbourne, Perth, Sydney

Assessment Overview

1. **Group Work** Weighting: 40%

2. Written Assessment

Weighting: 45% 3. **Online Test** Weighting: 15%

Assessment Grading

This is a graded unit: your overall grade will be calculated from the marks or grades for each assessment task, based on the relative weightings shown in the table above. You must obtain an overall mark for the unit of at least 50%, or an overall grade of 'pass' in order to pass the unit. If any 'pass/fail' tasks are shown in the table above they must also be completed successfully ('pass' grade). You must also meet any minimum mark requirements specified for a particular assessment task, as detailed in the 'assessment task' section (note that in some instances, the minimum mark for a task may be greater than 50%). Consult the <u>University's Grades and Results Policy</u> for more details of interim results and final grades.

CQUniversity Policies

All University policies are available on the CQUniversity Policy site.

You may wish to view these policies:

- Grades and Results Policy
- Assessment Policy and Procedure (Higher Education Coursework)
- Review of Grade Procedure
- Student Academic Integrity Policy and Procedure
- Monitoring Academic Progress (MAP) Policy and Procedure Domestic Students
- Monitoring Academic Progress (MAP) Policy and Procedure International Students
- Student Refund and Credit Balance Policy and Procedure
- Student Feedback Compliments and Complaints Policy and Procedure
- Information and Communications Technology Acceptable Use Policy and Procedure

This list is not an exhaustive list of all University policies. The full list of University policies are available on the <u>CQUniversity Policy site</u>.

Previous Student Feedback

Feedback, Recommendations and Responses

Every unit is reviewed for enhancement each year. At the most recent review, the following staff and student feedback items were identified and recommendations were made.

Feedback from Personal reflection.

Feedback

The current marking criteria and associated rubric for the end-of-term take-home test need to be clearer.

Recommendation

The Unit Coordinator will review the marking criteria for the end-of-term take-home test to ensure it is clear.

Feedback from Student unit teaching evaluations.

Feedback

Students requested a more detailed rubric for the group assessment.

Recommendation

The Unit Coordinator will review the marking rubric for the group assessment task to ensure it is clear and at an appropriate level of detail.

Unit Learning Outcomes

On successful completion of this unit, you will be able to:

- 1. Describe the similarities and differences underlying the disciplines of psychology and the legal system.
- 2. Describe and critically evaluate how psychological theory and research have been applied to the legal system.
- 3. Identify challenges that psychologists face when interacting with the legal system.

This unit addresses Foundational Competencies as specified by the Australian Psychology Accreditation Council (APAC) and specifically aligns to:

- 1.1 Comprehend and apply a broad and coherent body of knowledge of psychology, with depth of understanding of underlying principles, theories and concepts in the discipline, using a scientific approach, including the following topics: (i) the history and philosophy underpinning the science of psychology and the social, cultural, historical and professional influences on the practice of psychology; (ii) individual differences in capacity, behaviour and personality; (iv) psychological disorders and evidence-based interventions; (xi) culturally appropriate psychological assessment and measurement.
- 1.2 Apply knowledge and skills of psychology in a manner that is reflexive, culturally appropriate and sensitive to the diversity of individuals
- 1.3 Analyse and critique theory and research in the discipline of psychology and communicate these in written and oral formats.
- 1.4 Demonstrate an understanding of appropriate values and ethics in psychology.
- 1.5 Demonstrate interpersonal skills and teamwork.
- 1.6 Demonstrate self-directed pursuit of scholarly inquiry in psychology.

	_		
N/A Level Introductory Level Intermediate Level Graduate Level Profes	ssional . Advanced Level		
Alignment of Assessment Tasks to Learning C	outcomes		
Assessment Tasks	Learning Outcomes		
	1	2	3
1 - Group Work - 40%	•		•
2 - Written Assessment - 45%	•	•	•
3 - Online Test - 15%		•	
Alignment of Graduate Attributes to Learning	Outcomes		
Graduate Attributes	Learning Outcomes		
	:	L 2	3
1 - Communication			
2 - Problem Solving			
3 - Critical Thinking		•	•
4 - Information Literacy		•	•
5 - Team Work			•
6 - Information Technology Competence			
7 - Cross Cultural Competence			
8 - Ethical practice			•
9 - Social Innovation			
10 - Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Cultures			

Alignment of Learning Outcomes, Assessment and Graduate Attributes

Textbooks and Resources

Textbooks

PSYC13021

Prescribed

Forensic Psychology: Crime, Justice, Law, Interventions

4th edition (2024)

Authors: Davies, Graham M., Beech, Anthony, R., and Colloff, Melissa, F. (Eds).

John Wiley & Sons Ltd

Brisbane, Queensland, Australia

ISBN: E-Book ISBN: 978-1-119-89202-1 Print ISBN: 978-1-119-89200-7

Binding: eBook

IT Resources

You will need access to the following IT resources:

- CQUniversity Student Email
- Internet
- Unit Website (Moodle)

Referencing Style

All submissions for this unit must use the referencing style: <u>American Psychological Association 7th Edition (APA 7th</u> edition)

For further information, see the Assessment Tasks.

Teaching Contacts

Rebecca Wilcoxson Unit Coordinator

r.wilcoxson@cqu.edu.au

Schedule

Week 1 - 08	Jul	2024
-------------	-----	------

Module/Topic Cha

Chapter

Events and Submissions/Topic

The Intersection of Psychology and

law

Nolan, M., & Goodman-Delahunty, J. (2015). Introduction. In M. Nolan & J. Goodman-Delahunty, *Legal psychology in Australia* (pp. 1-28). Thomas

Reuters.

Week 2 - 15 Jul 2024

Risk Assessment

Module/Topic Chapter Events and Submissions/Topic

Beech, A. R. & Hatcher, R. M. (2024). Risk assessment. In Davies, G. M., Beech, A. R., & Colloff, M. F. (Eds). Forensic psychology: Crime, justice, law, interventions (4th Ed.) (pp. 539 -

FCO) Interventions (4th Lu.) (pp. 53

568). John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

Week 3 - 22 Jul 2024

Module/Topic Chapter Events and Submissions/Topic

Offender Profiling Week 4 - 29 Jul 2024	Woodhams, J., Tonkin, M., & Burrell, A. (2024). Offender profiling and crime linkage. In G. M. Davies, A. R. Beech, & M. F. Colloff (Eds). Forensic psychology: Crime, justice, law, interventions (4th Ed.) (pp. 313 - 336). John Wiley & Sons Ltd.	
•		
Module/Topic Psychopathy	Chapter Gillespie, S. M., & Garofalo, C. (2024). Psychopathy. In G. M. Davies, A. R. Beech, & M. F. Colloff (Eds). Forensic psychology: Crime, justice, law, interventions (4th Ed.) (pp. 78 - 102). John Wiley & Sons.	Events and Submissions/Topic
Week 5 - 05 Aug 2024		
Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Mental Disorder and Law	Nolan, M., & Goodman-Delahunty, J. (2015). Mental disorder and law. In M. Nolan & J. Goodman-Delahunty, <i>Legal psychology in Australia</i> (pp. 155 - 219). Thomas Reuters.	
Vacation Week - 12 Aug 2024		
Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Vacation week		
Week 6 - 19 Aug 2024		
Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Personality Disorders & Offending	McMurran, M., & Howard, R. (2019). Personality disorders and offending. In The Wiley international handbook of correctional psychology (pp. 265 - 281). John Wiley & Sons.	
Week 7 - 26 Aug 2024		
Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Interviewing and Interrogation	Nolan, M., & Goodman-Delahunty, J. (2015). Investigative interviewing. In M. Nolan & J. Goodman-Delahunty, Legal psychology in Australia (pp. 89 - 126). Thompson Reuters.	Risk Assessment via the HCR-20- V3 Due: Week 7 Monday (26 Aug 2024) 5:00 pm AEST
Week 8 - 02 Sep 2024		
Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Eyewitness Evidence	Colloff, M. F., Lowe, H. D., & Bennett, T. C. (2024). Eyewitness evidence. In G. M. Davies, A. R. Beech, & M. F. Colloff (Eds). Forensic psychology: Crime, justice, law, interventions (4th Ed.) (pp. 199 - 232). John Wiley & Sons.	
Week 9 - 09 Sep 2024		
Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic

Interpersonal Violence and Stalking	Dixon, L., & Bowen, E. (2024). Interpersonal violence and stalking. In Davies, G. M., Beech, A. R., and Colloff, M. F. (Eds). Forensic psychology: Crime, justice, law, interventions (4th Ed.) (pp. 337 - 366). John Wiley & Sons.	
Week 10 - 16 Sep 2024		
Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Children in the Criminal Justice System	Nolan, M., & Goodman-Delahunty, J. (2015). Children in the criminal justice system. In M. Nolan & J. Goodman-Delahunty, Legal psychology in Australia, (pp. 221 - 261). Thomas Reuters.	The Accuracy of an Al Generated Report Due: Week 10 Friday (20 Sept 2024) 5:00 pm AEST
Week 11 - 23 Sep 2024		
Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Detecting Deception	Granhag, P. A. & Hartwig, M. (2024). Detecting deception. In G. M. Davies, A. R. Beech, & M. F. Colloff (Eds). Forensic psychology: Crime, justice, law, interventions (4th Ed.) (pp. 289-312). John Wiley & Sons.	
Week 12 - 30 Sep 2024		
Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Miscarriages of Justice	Dioso-Villa, R., Julian, R., Kebbell, M., Weathered, L. & Westera, N. (2016). Investigation to exoneration: A systemic review of wrongful conviction in Australia. <i>Current Issues in Criminal Justice</i> , 28(2), 157-172. Stratton, G., & Sigamoney, A. (2020). Why we don't see race: How Australia has overlooked race as an influence on miscarriages of justice. <i>Race and Justice</i> , 1-16.	
Review/Exam Week - 07 Oct 2024		
Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
Exam Week - 14 Oct 2024		
Module/Topic	Chapter	Events and Submissions/Topic
		Online test Due: Exam Week Monday (14 Oct 2024) 9:00 am AEST

Term Specific Information

Assessment Tasks

1 Risk Assessment via the HCR-20-V3

Assessment Type Group Work

Task Description

This task involves self-selection to a six-person study group, completing a memorandum of understanding with your group members to determine how the group will operate and the responsibility of members, performing a risk assessment of a real individual (to be advised) and providing a rationale for your chosen risk level and a personal reflection on your contribution to the group.

Group members will collaboratively assess the individual's likelihood of future violence/risk to the community using an HCR-20-V3 form. The HCR-20-V3 is a popular tool used by forensic practitioners to assess the level of risk posed by individuals in the forensic system (Douglas et al., 2013), for example, before a parole hearing. An explanation of the HCR-20-V3 will be provided in week 2. Group members will also provide a brief individual rationale as to the level of risk that was decided on, including their personal opinions on the risk level (i.e., did you agree/disagree with the group on all the chosen items and why?). The group members will also provide a self-reflection on their adherence to the MOU and how their contribution to the group likely affected the group process.

The group will present the HCR form, MOU, rationale, personal opinions on risk level and personal reflection as a prerecorded Zoom presentation of approximately 60 minutes which is broken down by: approximately 10 minutes to present the HCR form and approximately 5 - 7 minutes of talk time for each member to provide their rationale and personal opinions on the risk level and their reflection on their adherence to the MOU and how their contribution to the group likely affected the group process.

Assessment Due Date

Week 7 Monday (26 Aug 2024) 5:00 pm AEST

Return Date to Students

Week 10 Monday (16 Sept 2024)

Weighting

40%

Assessment Criteria

The assignment will be marked according to the following:

Total 40 Marks comprised of:

Group mark (30 marks)

The group submission will be assessed based on:

The appropriate completion of the HCR-20-V3 form, and the memorandum of understanding completion (5 marks)

The coherence and quality of the group rationale provided (10 marks)

The clarity and quality of oral communication skills (5 marks)

The incorporation of relevant weekly readings into the rationales for specific risk assessment scores (10 marks)

Individual mark (10 marks)

The individual mark will be awarded based on the following:

Articulation of areas of agreement and disagreement with the group risk assessment level (5 marks)

Personal reflection on the individual's adherence to the memorandum of understanding and how their contribution to the group likely affected the group process (5 marks)

Referencing Style

• American Psychological Association 7th Edition (APA 7th edition)

Submission

No submission method provided.

Learning Outcomes Assessed

- Describe the similarities and differences underlying the disciplines of psychology and the legal system.
- Identify challenges that psychologists face when interacting with the legal system.

2 The Accuracy of an Al Generated Report

Assessment Type

Written Assessment

Task Description

This assessment involves assessing the quality of information provided by generative artificial intelligence (AI) on a forensic psychology topic (this will be provided in week 1) and preparing an essay that provides evidence that supports or disputes the accuracy of the AI report. Only peer-reviewed academic articles, minimum of ten, can be used to prepare the essay. Full details, a grading rubric, and the topic will be provided through Moodle.

The maximum word count is 1500 words.

Assessment Due Date

Week 10 Friday (20 Sept 2024) 5:00 pm AEST

Submit via Moodle

Return Date to Students

Return via Moodle

Weighting

45%

Assessment Criteria

Assessment criteria (100 marks)

The required word count is a maximum of 1500 words (excluding the reference list).

Essay (80 marks)

- Introduction (10 marks)
- Presentation of peer-reviewed information regarding the forensic psychology topic (20 marks)
- Comparison of AI information to peer-reviewed information (20 marks)
- Implications of using AI to prepare reports on forensic psychology topics (10 marks)
- Conclusion (10 marks)
- Quality of references (i.e., peer reviewed) (10 marks)

Reference list (10 marks)

- Correct APA format
- Minimum of ten references used

Style/Presentation (10 marks)

- Grammar, spelling, and sentence structure
- Overall readability, the flow of writing
- Adheres to word limit length: maximum of 1500 words (including in-text references but excluding the reference list)

Referencing Style

American Psychological Association 7th Edition (APA 7th edition)

Submission

Online

Submission Instructions

Submitted via Moodle

Learning Outcomes Assessed

- Describe the similarities and differences underlying the disciplines of psychology and the legal system.
- Describe and critically evaluate how psychological theory and research have been applied to the legal system.
- Identify challenges that psychologists face when interacting with the legal system.

3 Online test

Assessment Type

Online Test

Task Description

The end of term online test will consist of 'long answer' responses (approximately 300 - 400 words; however, you can use less or more if you like) to three questions related to the textbook readings (Forensic Psychology: Crime, justice, law, interventions, 4th Ed.) for the unit. Students will be required to answer all three questions, and the responses to items can be written on Moodle, or if you prefer to write this in Word, then an attachment can be uploaded. Once you open the test you will have two hours to complete it.

Assessment Due Date

Exam Week Monday (14 Oct 2024) 9:00 am AEST

Return Date to Students

Weighting

15%

Assessment Criteria

Students will be marked for each of the three short answer questions that are completed. Each question will be worth 10

marks for a total contribution of 40 marks (40% of the overall mark).

Marks for each question (5 possible marks each) will be awarded as follows:

- An excellent response that is factual, extremely well-written and includes a reference to the relevant chapter (5 marks)
- A very good response that is factual, well-written and includes a reference to the relevant chapter (4 marks)
- An adequate response that is mostly factual and or mostly well-written and includes a reference to the relevant chapter (3 marks)
- A limited response that is somewhat lacking in the accuracy of response and or quality of written work and or lacks a reference to the relevant chapter (2 marks)
- A very poor response that is lacking in accuracy and or quality of written work and or lacks a reference to the relevant chapter (1 marks)
- No response or irrelevant response (0 marks)

Referencing Style

• American Psychological Association 7th Edition (APA 7th edition)

Submission

No submission method provided.

Learning Outcomes Assessed

• Describe and critically evaluate how psychological theory and research have been applied to the legal system.

Academic Integrity Statement

As a CQUniversity student you are expected to act honestly in all aspects of your academic work.

Any assessable work undertaken or submitted for review or assessment must be your own work. Assessable work is any type of work you do to meet the assessment requirements in the unit, including draft work submitted for review and feedback and final work to be assessed.

When you use the ideas, words or data of others in your assessment, you must thoroughly and clearly acknowledge the source of this information by using the correct referencing style for your unit. Using others' work without proper acknowledgement may be considered a form of intellectual dishonesty.

Participating honestly, respectfully, responsibly, and fairly in your university study ensures the CQUniversity qualification you earn will be valued as a true indication of your individual academic achievement and will continue to receive the respect and recognition it deserves.

As a student, you are responsible for reading and following CQUniversity's policies, including the **Student Academic Integrity Policy and Procedure**. This policy sets out CQUniversity's expectations of you to act with integrity, examples of academic integrity breaches to avoid, the processes used to address alleged breaches of academic integrity, and potential penalties.

What is a breach of academic integrity?

A breach of academic integrity includes but is not limited to plagiarism, self-plagiarism, collusion, cheating, contract cheating, and academic misconduct. The Student Academic Integrity Policy and Procedure defines what these terms mean and gives examples.

Why is academic integrity important?

A breach of academic integrity may result in one or more penalties, including suspension or even expulsion from the University. It can also have negative implications for student visas and future enrolment at CQUniversity or elsewhere. Students who engage in contract cheating also risk being blackmailed by contract cheating services.

Where can I get assistance?

For academic advice and guidance, the <u>Academic Learning Centre (ALC)</u> can support you in becoming confident in completing assessments with integrity and of high standard.

What can you do to act with integrity?



Be Honest

If your assessment task is done by someone else, it would be dishonest of you to claim it as your own



Seek Help

If you are not sure about how to cite or reference in essays, reports etc, then seek help from your lecturer, the library or the Academic Learning Centre (ALC)



Produce Original Work

Originality comes from your ability to read widely, think critically, and apply your gained knowledge to address a question or problem